1	OFFICE OF CONSERVATION
2	STATE OF LOUISIANA
3	
4	IN RE: GROUND WATER
5	RESOURCES COMMISSION MEETING
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	REPORT OF MEETING
12	HELD AT
13	BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA
14	JUNE 23, 2004
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	

1	OFFICE OF CONSERVATION
2	STATE OF LOUISIANA
3	
4	IN RE: GROUND WATER
5	RESOURCES COMMISSION MEETING
6	
7	
8	Report of the public meeting held by the Ground
9	Water Management Commission, State of Louisiana, on
10	June 23, 2004, in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
11	
12	COMMISSION MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:
13	Scott Kirkpatrick, Chairman
14	James H. Welsh, Commissioner of Conservation
15	Karen Gautreaux, Department of Environmental Quality
16	Zahir "Bo" Bolourchi, DOTD - Water Resources
17	Darwin Knochenmus, Capital Area Groundwater Commission
18	Walter Savage, Police Jury Association of Louisiana
19	Richard Durrett, Sparta Ground Water Conservation
20	John Roussel, Assistant Secretary Wildlife & Fisheries
21	Linda Zaunbrecher, Farm Bureau Member
22	Len Bahr, Governor's Office of Coastal Activities
23	Michael Taylor, Department of Economic Development
24	Jackie Loewer, Chicot Aquifer
25	Karen Irion, Department of Health and Hospitals
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	

1	AGENDA
2	I. Call to Order - Governor's Office
3	II. Ground Water Resources Division:
4	A. Staff Activities
5	B. Rulemaking
6	III. Old Business
7	A. Sparta Critical Ground Water Area Designation
8	Application
9	IV. New Business:
10	A. Legislative Update
11	V. Commissioner Comments
12	VI. Task Force Comments
13	VII. Public Comments
14	VIII. Schedule for Next Meeting
15	IX. Adjourn
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

1 GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT COMMISSION MEETING 2 JUNE 23, 2004 3 * * * * * 4 COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK: 5 My name is Scott Kirkpatrick. I took Jim 6 Marchand's place in the Governor's Office and do 7 natural resources and environmental policy work, and 8 by virtue of taking Jim's place I now get to sit up 9 here and Chair this. And certainly, if you have any 10 constructive criticism for me afterwards I won't be 11 offended. But I just want to welcome everybody. I 12 believe we're going to take roll here. Tony, do you 13 take roll? 14 MR. DUPLECHIN: 15 Actually, we base the roll on when the 16 Commissioners sign in when they come. What we usually 17 do is go around the table and have the Commissioners 18 introduce themselves. 19 COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK: 20 Okay. Well, we can do that, too, then. 21 COMMISSIONER BAHR: 22 I'm Len Bahr, and I am in Governor Blanco's 23 Coastal Activities office. I direct the bicoastal 24 science program. 25 COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER: 26 I'm Linda Zaunbrecher. I represent Agriculture 27 and Louisiana Farm Bureau. 28 COMMISSIONER LOEWER: 29 Jackie Loewer. I represent the Chicot Aquifer.

30

COMMISSIONER KNOCHENMUS:

1	Darwin Knochenmus. I represent Capital Area
2	Groundwater Conservation.
3	COMMISSIONER BOLOURCHI:
4	Bo Bolourchi. I represent the Secretary of
5	Louisiana DOTD.
6	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR:
7	Mike Taylor, Louisiana Economic Development.
8	COMMISSIONER WELSH:
9	I'm Jim Welsh, Commissioner of Conservation,
10	Department of Natural Resources.
11	COMMISSIONER ROUSSEL:
12	John Roussel, Assistant Secretary of Department
13	of Wildlife and Fisheries and I'm the Secretary's
14	designee.
15	COMMISSIONER IRION:
16	I'm Karen Irion. I'm Deputy Chief Engineer of
17	OPH, DHH, and administrator of the drinking water
18	program.
19	COMMISSIONER SAVAGE:
20	I'm Walter Savage. I represent the Police Jury
21	Association.
22	COMMISSIONER DURRETT:
23	Richard Durrett representing the Sparta Ground
24	Water Commission.
25	COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:
26	Thank y'all for taking time to come today.
27	Commissioner Welsh tells me my job is pretty much
28	finished now and Tony takes over.
29	MR. DUPLECHIN:

Thank you, Scott. I'd like to go over some of

the activities that the staff has done since the last time this body met in March. We have just about wrapped up our database development and we are ready to bring what we have over to our IT people and get them to implement it so we can start using it.

Since the beginning of this program on July 1, 2003, we have received a total of 391 water well information sheets, which is the prior notification that we get for water wells that are going in. And we have started to identify some gaps in the data that we have collected and that we review from DOTD that has been collected, and we are looking at how best to approach that. We haven't called DOTD yet about this, but we are finding wells that exist and are still in use that were put in prior to 1984 when DOTD's registration requirements came in that new wells do have an affect on, and we're having to find out about them through field investigations and by word of So we will be contacting DOTD to try and get a handle on this. And we have also conducted some limited field investigations along with this, looking for wells and in vicinities where new wells are going in to see what effects might occur.

Rulemaking has been completed, shall we say.

This month's edition of the Louisiana Register

contains the final rule that was published for the

application and conduct of hearings regarding critical

groundwater area designations and for the data

collection with these water well information sheets.

We ran out of copies before we were able to pass them

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

out to the Commissioners, but it is available on the Office of the State Register web site, and it's in the June Register on page 1212, 1212 through 1216.

Old business. Sparta critical groundwater designation application, I know that's what a lot of people came here today for us to talk about. We are still -- we are in the final processes of getting something out on that. The staff has submitted a report and recommendations to the Commissioner of Conservation, along with a suggested order. Commissioner is reviewing those materials and we hope to have a draft order ready in accordance with the rules by the end of this month. Once that is ready it will be made available to all interested parties in accordance with the rules, and the Office shall schedule a hearing in the locality of the area of those affected by the draft order.

New business. A short legislative update. There's several pieces of legislation in this session that either dealt with groundwater or dealt with this board before us, and I will go over a few of those and what happened to them.

House Concurrent Resolution 61 was introduced to memorialize Congress to appropriate funds for waterrelated projects in Louisiana, and it was approved by both the House and Senate and sent to the Secretary of State's office.

House Bill 1679 by Representative Beard was introduced to create the Office of Water Resources in DNR, and that office would have included the current

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

MR. DUPLECHIN:

coastal restoration and coastal activities duties in DNR and would have moved all public works functions from DOTD over to DNR. This legislation was withdrawn in committee.

House Bill 885 provided for permit requirements for those people utilizing reclaimed water, and both the House and the Senate approved and adopted the conference committee report of amendments, and it was sent on from there.

House Bill 950 provided relative to the Claiborne Parish Watershed District. It was passed in both House and Senate and has been sent to Governor Blanco for her signature.

House Bill -- I'm sorry, Senate Bill 869 mandated certain qualifications for the appointed members of boards and commissions with statewide jurisdiction, and it was approved by both House and Senate with amendments and sent to the Governor for her signature.

And, finally, House Bill 1683 which would have repealed the crime -- repealed the fact that it is a crime to transport water from St. Tammany Parish, and it passed in committee in the House but failed to come up for a final vote on the floor. And that is my report, and I ask the Commission if they have any comments or questions.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Tony, wasn't Representative Beard's bill made into a study bill?

He said he was going to do that. I do not know

1 if he ever did. In looking at the history on the 2 legislation -- legislative web site it just ended with 3 it being introduced in committee. 4 COMMISSIONER WELSH: 5 I'm not sure either. I know it was considered at 6 one time. 7 COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK: 8 Is this 885 you're talking about? 9 MR. DUPLECHIN: 10 No, this was 1679. 11 COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX: 12 Tony, did the bill that referenced boards and 13 commissions memberships, that did pass; right? 14 MR. DUPLECHIN: 15 That did pass. 16 COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX: 17 Would you like to tell the members what it deals 18 with? Have you had an opportunity to read it? 19 MR. DUPLECHIN: 20 The original legislation listed pretty much every 21 board and commission in the state, and said that the 22 membership of those boards and commissions should be 23 made up of people that represented the different areas 24 of the state. Let me pull out the legislation so I 25 don't misspeak. 26 COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX: 27 I was just wondering if there are any 28 implications that the members of this commission might

be interested in, that they need to know about. I

think it's a fairly representative body. I just

29

wanted to check.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

After amendments the bill was shortened up from some 70 odd pages to just two, where they dropped the names of all the different boards and commissions and just put it under a blanket and said, "In making appointments to any board, commission, council, authority, or other similar entity that has statewide jurisdiction and is established by law, rule, executive order, or otherwise, the appointing authority shall give due consideration to the demographics of the population of the state, including but not limited to, geography, gender, and race."

So I would think that this board is pretty much representative of the entire state of Louisiana. I think the intent in passing the legislation last year that created this board was to get a good cross representation of the state.

Any other questions?

COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:

Okay, if no other Commissioners have any comments, then we'll open it up for any comments from anybody else.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

The task force would like to make some comments, I believe. Tim Duex with the geology department at University of Louisiana at Lafayette has a short report and proposal to make to the Commission.

MR. DUEX:

Good afternoon, Mr. Commissioner, Commission

members, members of the task force, ladies and gentlemen, my name is Dr. Tim Duex from the geology Department at University of Louisiana at Lafayette.

Mr. Don Broussard and I have worked together on various projects in the past. I've been a member of the task force, and done some work on the Chicot Aquifer, and we have put together a couple of documents where we would like to formally propose the establishment of a regional body to study the Chicot Aquifer.

Don has some more formal applications and statements that he would like to make, and I'm going to turn it over to him at this point.

MR. BROUSSARD:

My name is Don Broussard and I'm currently the Water Operations Manager for the Lafayette Utility System. I'm here today to request the Commission consider recognizing the formation of a regional body based on the general location of the Chicot Aquifer as authorized by Act 49 of the 2003 Regular Session of the Legislature. That act authorized the creation of up to five regional stakeholder bodies, and I have a letter to the Chairman, Mr. Kirkpatrick, and a copy for each Commission member, and I think you already have a copy of that document in front of you.

I would like to preface my comments with my personal embarrassment that such a group has not already been formed in the Chicot Aquifer. I made an attempt about 15 years ago to create a group, get a group together, but my attempts fell short.

The Chicot Aquifer is prolific, but it is not inexhaustible. Recent surface droughts or agricultural droughts, such as in 2000, have stressed water users and their facilities. We have all heard stories about water wells having to be lowered due to dropping water levels in the aquifer. From a public supply standpoint the 2000 drought was not supply limited, but water systems were being used as irrigation systems, and water systems simply are not designed for such continuous high demands.

The daily withdrawals from the Chicot Aquifer, according to the USGS's 2000 report on water use in Louisiana, averaged only -- almost 800,000,000 gallons a day, and that's more than twice the second place withdrawals from the Mississippi River Alluvial Aquifer. I did some rough calculations, I don't know how close I am, but using the public supply category and the rural domestic category I figured the population served from the Chicot Aquifer for domestic and public supply uses is just under 600,000 population.

The Chicot Aquifer contains four of the major parishes where rice is a major crop, and that's Vermilion, Jeff Davis, Acadia, and Calcasieu Parishes. In June of 1998 the Chicot Aquifer was designated as a sole-source aquifer by the US EPA, and designation provided for a review of projects financed by federal funds to assess those projects' impacts on the aquifer. The fact that the Chicot Aquifer is a sole-source aquifer demands that greater attention be given

to its protection. The consequence of degradation of this resource is critical to Louisiana's economy and, therefore, its protection and preservation is critical.

Some of the issues that I see the stakeholder group discussing and advising the Commission on include: seismic testing issues, such as, what happens with the borehole following completion of a test site; water quality issues, like saltwater intrusion in coastal parishes; land subsidence issues; water conservation issues; long-term decline in water levels with seasonal recoveries; land uses in the recharge areas of the Chicot Aquifer; aquifer leakage between distinct or defined zones in the aquifer; surface contamination issues; water rights issues; and abandoned water wells.

The Commission is authorized to form regional bodies based on the general location of major aquifers of the state. Of course, we would be bound by rules and regulations that are promulgated by the Commissioner. And I ask that the Commission consider our request favorably.

Part of the documents that you have in front of you include a draft statement of purpose, which defines some of the objectives that we would propose at the local stakeholder group, entertain and discuss, and if you would refer to those documents we'd be happy to answer any questions that the Commission members might have.

COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:

Does anybody have any questions?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR:

Has the task force overall put the five that were authorized to create in a plan and is this part of that plan, or is this a group wanting to do what's right for their area but not as part of the larger plan?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

Act 49 authorizes the Commissioner to -authorizes the Commission to direct the Commissioner
to form these bodies state wide. And the task force
as a whole has not met yet, this is a first step in
getting this done as a task force recommendation that
it be done. But it's not an outside group coming in.
This is people that are involved.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR:

At this point it's not part of a larger plan for the whole state, it's just --

MR. DUPLECHIN:

No. And I was speaking with some of the task force members earlier asking if anyone from the task force had met yet on anything, and I said, no, but something would come up today that would generate some interest in getting the task force to start meeting.

COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:

Tony, you mentioned that Act 49 authorizes the Commissioner, as in the Commissioner of Conservation to form these groups?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

It authorizes the Ground Water Resources

Commission to direct the Commissioner to promulgate rules forming this group.

COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:

Okay. Karen?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Well, I'm wondering, since, I mean, it certainly sounds like you're fulfilling some of the original concepts of Act 49, but I guess I would feel a little funny. I mean, it sounds like the concept is very good and in line with what we want to encourage state wide, but it almost seems like, as you acknowledge in your letter, there's still some work to go on this, and it sounds like what you might need to do is receive some encouragement from the Commission to flesh that out, and then go back to the task force, get endorsement of the concept, and you've made us aware of it, and then you would come with a formal request once you have your details in line. I mean, that's typically how I've seen similar activities progress. Is there a problem with doing that? MR. BROUSSARD:

What we would envision, Ms. Gautreaux, is to have an organizational meeting sometime within 90 days, which would hopefully occur before the next official Commission meeting, and we would hammer out some of these organizational issues like you mentioned, and at that point we would ask the Commission to take some official action, like in the form of a motion and a vote. We basically at this point we're just asking the Commission to authorize the concept, basically not

hold up a stop sign, just to allow us to proceed with the organizational meeting.

COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Would it be your intent to work with the task force to kind of flesh out issues with them as well as you move forward and kind of get input so that there is consensus that -- and I think it helps with other groups that may be thinking of organizing within the state to kind of flesh out issues as you're moving forward. So what I would really encourage you to do is go ahead and have your meeting, but also invite task force participation, and hopefully have endorsement by the task force and give them some good ideas for other areas by the time you come back and ask for final approval of forming that. That's what I would encourage you to do.

MR. BROUSSARD:

I think that's a good idea. Dr. Duex is, of course, a member of the task force and he's with us on the concept at least. We would also envision that the makeup of the stakeholder group would include largely a lot of the groups that are represented on this Commission, as well as the task force itself, so kind of using the Commission and the Advisory Task Force as a model of who to invite.

COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Yeah, it sounds like you're following a logical course, so I would just encourage you to keep doing that.

COMMISSIONER LOEWER:

Mr. Chairman, I'll probably be representing that group on this Commission, but it seems like a couple of things have to happen. First of all, we couldn't stop anybody from organizing if they wanted to. The second thing is is that we can't recognize them until we know how to recognize them and without rules and regulations we have nothing to go by, and I would imagine that we would design rules and regulations that would be consistent with all of the regions and then have the regions be identified or recognized, and then we would pick whoever. There could be competing groups for this recognition, we don't know that yet, and I would think that we would go to rules and regulations before we start with the recognition stage.

COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:

I think that sounds good. Now, I guess this group would like to know if there are any major -- any objections or issues that they need to consider as they move forward informally to try to formalize this process. Does anybody have any other concerns? Tony?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

In speaking with counsel a couple of minutes ago,
Act 49 says and I will quote, "The Commission may
direct the Commissioner to promulgate rules and
regulations for the appointment or designation of up
to five regional bodies based on the general location
of the major aquifer systems and water resources of
the State and composed of local stakeholders who are
representative of current users. Such bodies may

gather data and provide local input to the Commission and the Commissioner."

Looking at that, and in line with what Mr. Loewer just said, the best way probably to proceed with this is for the Commission first to direct the Commissioner to promulgate these rules and regulations for the development of these five bodies without any one particular body at this point, and make the level playing surface.

COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:

Karen?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

I was just thinking back to the debate on the bill when we were developing the plan. It seemed as though we recognized in our discussions at some point that we may have up to five, but there may be areas that never choose to come forward with this, and now we see the first group that's coming forward. So what I would suggest or like to offer is that we start working on rules and regs, but yet, this group continue to have discussions and involve the task force. So hopefully it will be an interactive process, and we will be moving forward on a dual track, and you won't necessarily be waiting, but at the same time we're providing by the time you're ready for authorization some kind of consistent guidance that the Commission is comfortable with by the time you're ready to move forward formally. So you would be setting a consistent playing field for the rest of the state.

MR. BROUSSARD:

We anticipated that our request would probably have triggered the development of formal policies and procedures, and as we go forward in our organizational discussions we're going to try to anticipate some of the logical rules that the Commission might adopt.

And we will, of course, keep the task force involved and informed about our ongoings.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

Are these four parishes the only parishes that the Chicot covers?

MR. BROUSSARD:

No, sir. I think what I -- I didn't mean to cut you off, Mr. Durrett, but in the statement I made that's just for the principal users of rice irrigation. The Chicot Aquifer consists of approximately all or part of 15 parishes in southwest Louisiana.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

So when you start creating these five, if you don't have some rules and regulations you'll have four in the Chicot Aquifer.

COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

Right.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR:

What I'd like to say, when this does come forward, the rules and everything is developed, I'd like to see the state plan and how you fit into the plan. I admire you guys and applaud you for taking the lead on this, it's very necessary, but we wouldn't

1 want to create something that stands alone without 2 considering how it fits into the whole and that was --3 when you come back, that's what I'll be looking for. 4 MR. BROUSSARD: 5 That's a good point. We basically relied on the 6 quidance of Act 49 in the development of the documents 7 that have been submitted to you so far, but that's a 8 good idea to refer to the State plan, too. 9 COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK: 10 It seems like it may be in order if somebody 11 wants to make a motion for us to instruct the 12 Commissioner to develop those rules and regulations we 13 can get the process started, if somebody --14 COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER: 15 Linda Zaunbrecher. So moved. 16 COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK: 17 Second? 18 COMMISSIONER BAHR: 19 Len Bahr. Second. 20 COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK: 21 Can we just do it by voice vote? All in favor, 22 say yea. 23 I'm sorry, a question. 24 COMMISSIONER ROUSSEL: 25 Can I ask a question on the motion we just 26 I didn't vote yet. passed? 27 COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK: 28 Sure you can ask a question. I'm sorry. 29 COMMISSIONER ROUSSEL:

The motion is to direct the Commissioner.

1 t
2 r
3 f
4 p
5 i
6 T
7 0
8 f
9 A
10 B
11 r

that an authorization to actually begin the process of rulemaking, or is that meant to be to develop a draft for the Commission to then review before we start the process? I just want a clarification on what the intent of the motion was. And let me explain why. This Commission is a public body, we're taking a vote on an issue, and if we're authorizing him to go forward, this wasn't even listed as an agenda item. And we have open meetings issues and other things. But if it's simply to develop a draft to then represent to us then we've got no problem.

COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER:

If it needs to be restated, I so state that it be a draft, absolutely. Do we need to go back and amend the agenda before we do this, possibly?

COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:

We may need a parliamentarian today.

COMMISSIONER ROUSSEL:

Only if the motion's intent was to actually authorize to go forward, as opposed to just develop a draft for us to --

COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER:

It's, as I understood it, to instruct him or ask him if he would move forward in a draft that would give us something to look at at another meeting and then we would decide if we want to go forward. Is that what this discussion was about?

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

I'm understanding that the Commission is directing the Commissioner of Conservation to begin

rulemaking, which is what we will do under the Administrative Procedure Act. There will be a lot of opportunity for interaction during this process. And I would hope we would come up with a set of rules that we're happy with before we -- before we go public, so to speak, in rulemaking with public hearings and a legislative committee oversight and the whole nine yards. But the idea is to come up with a set of rules that the Commission is happy with, too.

COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:

I guess what we just want to clarify is before we're getting on the path of publishing, the Commission would like to see a draft before it gets into the public process to make sure we understand that we're going down the path that we thought we were going down.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

I think that's important. I agree with that.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

And that also is part of the Commission's charge under 38:3097.4(d)(2), which is to review rules and regulations proposed by the Commissioner pursuant to the appropriate administration and enforcement of this chapter.

COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:

All right. So at this point I guess we will restate the vote that we're considering. Ms.

Zaunbrecher said it is to instruct the Commissioner to put together a draft for the purpose of rulemaking on this issue. I guess all those in favor say aye.

1 (Aye.) 2 All those opposed? 3 (No response.) 4 The motion passes. 5 MR. BROUSSARD: 6 We thank the Chair and the Commission for their 7 time and attention. Look forward to working with you 8 on this important issue. Thank you very much. 9 COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK: 10 Thank you. Does the task force have any more 11 comments? Tony, do you know? 12 MR. DUPLECHIN: 13 Not that I know of, but you can ask them. 14 COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK: 15 Any task force members have any more comments? 16 believe we move to public comments, and if you can 17 please identify yourself. Is there anybody from the 18 public who has any comments? 19 (No response.) 20 Then I guess we will move to schedule for the 21 next meeting, if nobody has any other business. Tony, 22 do you have any recommendations? 23 MR. DUPLECHIN: 24 The law requires the Commission to meet at least 25 once per calendar quarter. That would mean sometime 26 during June, July, or August, and I have no 27 recommendations. I'm sorry, July, August, or 28 September. I have no recommendations at this point. 29 I know that Fridays are not good, and mornings are not

good, Tuesdays are not good because we have oil and

1	gas hearings. Thursdays are not good because we have
2	other hearings. So probably Wednesday afternoon
3	sometime during those three months.
4	COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:
5	Do you want to give us a proposed date?
6	MR. DUPLECHIN:
7	I will look at dates and e-mail the
8	Commissioners.
9	
	COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:
10	Is that okay with everybody if Tony e-mails out
11	potential dates?
12	COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER:
13	Are you looking in September, Tony, or before
14	that?
15	MR. DUPLECHIN:
16	Probably September would be the best.
17	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR:
18	When do we think the Sparta folks will have
19	something to talk about?
20	COMMISSIONER DURRETT:
21	When are they going to make a decision, then we
22	will have something
23	MR. DUPLECHIN:
24	The ball on the Sparta is in our court.
25	COMMISSIONER DURRETT:
26	Can I ask
27	MR. DUPLECHIN:
28	Once a decision is made, then a hearing will be
29	scheduled.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

A hearing? Where will that hearing be?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

That hearing will be held in the locality of the area of those affected by the draft order.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR:

What I'm getting at is, can we schedule our next meeting for when there is some meat there to talk about?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

We can do that, but hopefully we will have something to talk about by the end of this month. I don't know if this board wants to get back together in July, that soon. Of course, it's a minimum of once a quarter. And we will be having a hearing up in north Louisiana based on the order.

COMMISSIONER IRION:

What about the middle of August? I mean, instead of waiting until September, which is really a heavy meeting month already.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

That would be fine. Should we shoot for the 18th of August? The third Wednesday, 1:30?

COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:

Just so I appreciate that, will the intent of that meeting be to discuss the -- or it's anticipated that we will discuss the Sparta issue at that time?

Or you said that there's another hearing that will be held up in that area.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

A hearing will be held up in the area of the

1 Sparta. That is included in the order. 2 COMMISSIONER LOEWER: 3 Has that date been set for the hearing? 4 MR. DUPLECHIN: 5 That date has not been set. 6 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 7 Can I ask a question? 8 COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK: 9 Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 11 Us not meeting doesn't slow down the process, 12 does it? 13 MR. DUPLECHIN: 14 No, sir. 15 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 16 So if I can ask another question. At the last 17 meeting we were assured that it would be by the end of 18 this month. Now you are saying hopefully by the end 19 of this month. 20 MR. DUPLECHIN: 21 I would, with all due respect, have to check the 22 transcript to see. 23 COMMISSIONER WELSH: 24 Let me comment on that. The staff was ready to 25 go today. I was looking at the order this morning, 26 the draft order, and I wasn't quite happy with all the 27 i's and t's. It's basically done, and I can almost 28 assure you that it will be ready by the end of this 29 month. I've been -- my time has been taken up pretty

much by the Legislature for the last several months

1 and I really haven't -- I want to be comfortable with 2 it since I'm the one that has to sign it. So it's my, 3 fault if you want to look at somebody --4 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 5 I'm not looking for blame, I'm just trying to get 6 a confirmation. I'm not complaining. I just said, 7 and you can check the transcript, I think we made it 8 very plain last time it would be by the end of the 9 quarter. So I hope that by the end of the month. 10 COMMISSIONER WELSH: 11 I think at the last meeting we had said by the 12 end of this month, and I'm sure we can meet that 13 commitment, yeah. 14 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 15 When you do make that decision, will we be 16 notified, or will the media be notified first? 17 COMMISSIONER WELSH: 18 Being this is the first time that this has 19

happened, it's a draft order, I guess it's issued unsigned; correct?

MR. DUPLECHIN:

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

It's issued unsigned.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

Because it's not -- we have to go through a public hearing process where it's finalized.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

I understand. We haven't seen it. I mean, can we -- when it is released can we be given a copy of it?

30 | MR. DUPLECHIN:

1 The order will be made available to all 2 interested parties in accordance with 505(B) of the 3 regulations that were published this month. 4 COMMISSIONER IRION: 5 Does that have to be published in the Louisiana 6 Register before the hearing? 7 MR. DUPLECHIN: 8 The order? 9 COMMISSIONER IRION: 10 Yes. 11 MR. DUPLECHIN: 12 No. 13 COMMISSIONER WELSH: 14 We can send a -- we'll be sure the Commission 15 gets a copy. 16 COMMISSIONER DURRETT: 17 That's what I was saying, I have a Commission. 18 There's a Sparta Ground Water Commission that I would 19 like to be able to tell them or show them or send it 20 to them or whatever when you do issue it. 21 MR. DUPLECHIN: 22 Above -- more than anyone else the Sparta 23 Commission will receive a copy of this order. 24 COMMISSIONER WELSH: 25 Maybe we can make sure, Tony, maybe we can make 26 sure that the Sparta Commission has a copy when it's 27 officially, you know, released. 28 MR. DUPLECHIN: 29 As the applicant we are required to notify them

30

first.

COMMISSIONER DURRETT:

All I'm asking is, I don't want to pick up the Baton Rouge $\underline{\text{Advocate}}$ and read about it.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

I understand, and I appreciate that, and I don't think we have a problem with that.

COMMISSIONER ZAUNBRECHER:

I think the rest of us as Commissioners would like to know what the decision is before we read about it in the newspaper.

COMMISSIONER WELSH:

I understand, everybody wants to know. We can handle that. We will handle that.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

We will be sending copies to the Ground Water
Resources Commission, the Sparta Ground Water
Conservation District, as well as all of the names
that we have on record from either attending the
hearing in Ruston on November 19, 2002, or who sent in
written comments, or simply requested a copy of the
order once it was issued.

COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:

Any other questions or comments? I believe we tentatively said that August 18th would be our next scheduled meeting, and we will expect to hear from Tony on follow-up with that.

MR. DUPLECHIN:

We will keep in touch on that date, but pencil that one in for now.

COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:

1	I don't think we have a time.
2	MR. DUPLECHIN:
3	1:30, same time as this one was. We've found
4	that that works out pretty well.
5	COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:
6	If there is no other business, does somebody want
7	to make a motion to adjourn?
8	COMMISSIONER GAUTREAUX:
9	So moved.
10	COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:
11	Karen. Second?
12	COMMISSIONER BOLOURCHI:
13	Second.
14	COMMISSIONER KIRKPATRICK:
15	Seconded. All those in favor say aye.
16	(Aye.)
17	Those opposed?
18	(No response.)
19	Thank you.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, SUZETTE M. MAGEE, Certified Court Reporter, do
3	hereby certify that the foregoing meeting was held on
4	June 23, 2004, in the LaBelle Room, The LaSalle
5	Building, 617 North Third Street, Baton Rouge,
6	Louisiana; that I did report the proceedings thereof;
7	that the foregoing pages, numbered 1 through 31,
8	inclusive, constitute a true and correct transcript of
9	the proceedings thereof.
10	
11	
12	SUZETTE M. MAGEE, CCR #93079
13	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	